1637

Lithologic, Geotechnical, and Geophysical Data for Drill Hole CE-82-1, Chuitna East Coal Field, Cook Inlet Region, Alaska

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY BULLETIN 1637

READEX FICHE

I 19.3:

Lithologic, Geotechnical, and Geophysical Data for Drill Hole CE–82–1, Chuitna East Coal Field, Cook Inlet Region, Alaska

By JACK K. ODUM, CYNTHIA A. GARDNER, HENRY R. SCHMOLL, LYNN A. YEHLE, and LARRY L. DEARBORN

Prepared in cooperation with the
Alaska Division of
Geologic and Geophysical Surveys

Lithologic, geotechnical, and geophysical data presented here may be useful in evaluating and predicting the response of geologic materials to large-scale coal mining and reclamation activities

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY BULLETIN 1637

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DONALD PAUL HODEL, Secretary

U.S GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
Dallas L. Peck, Director

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE, WASHINGTON: 1986

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data
Main entry under title:
Lithologic, geotechnical, and geophysical data for drill hole CE-82-1, Chuitna East coal field, Cook inlet region, Alaska.
(U.S. Geological Survey bulletin ; 1637)
Bibliography: p.
Supt. of Docs. No.: I 19.3:1637
1. Geology—Alaska—Cook Inlet Region. 2. Coal—Geology—Alaska—Cook Inlet Region. 3. Borings—Alaska—Cook Inlet Region.
I. Odum, Jack K. II. Alaska Division of Geological and Geophysical Surveys. III. Series.
QE75.B9 no. 1637 557.3 s [557.98'3] 84-600284
[QE84.C69]

For sale by the Branch of Distribution
U.S. Geological Survey
604 South Pickett Street
Alexandria, VA 22304

CONTENTS

Abstract 1
Introduction 1
Acknowledgments 2
Drilling operation 2
Field geotechnical-logging operation 3
Geotechnical properties 3
    Lithology 3
    Discontinuities 4
    Strength properites 4
    Moisture content 5
Discussion of geophysical logging 5
References cited 9

PLATES

In pocket
1. Generalized geotechnical log for drill hole CE-82-1
2. Composite of refined geophysical logs and lithological logs, drill hole CE-82-1

FIGURES

1. Index map showing some of the major coal fields in the Cook Inlet region 2
2. Generalized lithologic log of drill hole CE-82-1 3
3. Graph showing relationship between qualitative hardness and unconfined compressive strength 5

TABLES

1. Point-load data for drill hole CE-82-1 6
2. Mean and median unconfined compressive strength data and anisotropy index for drill hole CE-82-1 7
3. Moisture-content data for drill hole CE-82-1 8
4. Mean and median moisture-content data for drill hole CE-82-1 9

METRIC—INCH-POUND EQUIVALENTS

Metric unit		Inch-pound equivalent
1 millimeter (mm)	=	0.04 inch (in.)
1 centimeter (cm)	=	0.39 inch (in.)
1 meter (m)	=	3.28 feet (ft)
1 kilometer (km)	=	0.62 mile (mi)
1 gram (g)	=	0.035 ounce (oz)
1 centimeter^2 (cm^2)	=	0.16 inch^2 ($in.^2$)
1 degree Celsius (°C)	=	[degree Fahrenheit(°F)-32]/1.8
1 meganewton per meter^2 (MN/m^2)	=	145 pounds per square inch (psi)
1 megapascal (MPa)	=	145 pounds per square inch (psi)

Lithologic, Geotechnical, and Geophysical Data for Drill Hole CE-82-1, Chuitna East Coal Field, Cook Inlet Region, Alaska

By Jack K. Odum, Cynthia A. Gardner, Henry R. Schmoll, Lynn A. Yehle, and Larry L. Dearborn[1]

Abstract

The Tyonek Formation, of early Oligocene through middle Miocene age, is a sequence of nonmarine sediments deposited in a poorly drained alluvial-plain environment. These lithologies were penetrated by drill hole CE-82-1, Chuitna East coal field, Alaska, and, in order of decreasing abundance, are: siltstone, sandstone (including loose uncemented sand and gravel), coal, claystone (including carbonaceous and silty varieties), and diamicton. Diamicton, presumably of glacial origin, overlies the Tyonek Formation at the drill-hole site.

On the basis of unconfined compressive strength data (derived from axial point-load tests), the majority of the core recovered can be classified as having a strength range of stiff soil to soft rock (1.15 to 5.66 MPa (megapascal)). Coal with strength values generally exceeding 10.0 MPa and sandstone with values ranging from zero to 1.97 MPa represent the strongest and weakest lithologies, respectively.

Borehole geophysical probes detect the different physical properties of the various lithologies and aid in the identification of strata not recovered during drilling. The temperature log shows a bow-shaped profile opposite the uppermost major coal bed and two sharp spikes, of about 0.22°C, at depths of 75.3 m and 108.2 m. The 75.3-m spike correlates with pronounced hole enlargement, recorded by the caliper log, and slickensides in firm to very firm siltstone. As of yet no lithologic and (or) geotechnical explanation of the spike at 108.2 m has been identified.

INTRODUCTION

The drilling and geophysical logging described in this report were undertaken in June 1982, as part of the Energy Lands Program of the U.S. Geological Survey. The general objectives of the Energy Lands Program in Alaska, of which this study is a part, are to provide an understanding of the location, nature, and extent of the engineering and environmental geology concerns in areas of potential coal development in the Cook Inlet region, Alaska. The lithologic, geotechnical, and geophysical data presented in this report include some of the data needed to evaluate and predict the response of geologic materials to large-scale coal mining and related development in the Chuitna East coal field of the Beluga coal resource area, Cook Inlet region, Alaska. Specifically, the information may be used in evaluating the stability of natural and man-made slopes, stability of spoil piles, ground response to seismic activity, blasting effects, ease of material excavation, ground-water conditions, and erosion potential of geologic materials.

Drill hole CE-82-1 (fig. 1) is located on a north-trending interfluve between branches of a tributary to Chuit Creek, about 5.6 km north of its confluence with the Chuitna River. The site, located at SW¼ SW¼ sec. 24, T. 13 N., R. 13 W., Tyonek A-5 Quadrangle, Alaska, is approximately 104 km west of Anchorage, Alaska, and 29.7 km northwest of Tyonek, a native village on the northwest side of Cook Inlet.

The drilling and core sampling penetrated strata of the Tyonek Formation of early Oligocene through middle Miocene age (Wolfe and others, 1966; Wolfe and Tanai, 1980) and overlying surficial deposits of Quaternary age. A generalized lithologic log of the drill core is presented in figure 2. Barnes (1966) described and mapped the regional geology and coal resources of the Beluga-Yentna region, which includes the Capps and the Chuitna coal fields. The Chuitna coal field is subdivided into the East Chuitna and West Chuitna fields by the Chuitna River. Other geologic and environmental geologic considerations have been discussed by Schmoll and others (1981). Preliminary geotechnical data for drill hole CW-81-2, 12.4 km west of CE-82-1, have been compiled by Odum and others (1983). Geotechnical data on drill holes 1C-79 and 2C-80, in the Capps coal field, have been presented by Chleborad and others (1980, 1982).

From outcrops along the Chuitna River, Barnes (1966) identified one principal coal bed, the Chuitna, and several minor coal beds. We have not stratigraphically correlated the two principal coal beds in drill hole CE-82-1 with the beds identified by Barnes or with the three beds found in drill hole CW-81-2 in the Chuitna West coal

[1]Alaska Division of Geologic and Geophysical Surveys, Eagle River, Alaska 99577.



Figure 1. Index map showing some of the major coal fields in the Cook Inlet region.

field. For the purposes of our report, the coal beds in drill hole CE–82–1 are referred to as the upper bed and the lower bed. These beds may correlate (B. J. G. Patch, written commun., 1981) with the "green" and "blue" beds, respectively, described further east in the Chuitna East coal field (Ramsey, 1981; R. B. Sanders, oral commun., 1982).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful for the support and assistance given during the drilling operation by Vic Mittasch, Ron Reese, Tracy Quance, Dale Norton, and Mark Masters of Exploration Supply and Equipment Company, Anchorage, Alaska. The Beluga Coal Company, a wholly owned subsidiary of Placer Amex, Inc., San Francisco, Calif., generously contributed information useful to the drilling operation.

DRILLING OPERATION

The objective of the drilling program was to obtain continuous core suitable for lithologic studies, including physical property tests and strength determinations.

The inaccessibility of the drilling location by road necessitated the use of tracked vehicles and fixed-wing aircraft to transport equipment, personnel, and supplies. Drilling began on June 18, 1982, using a track-mounted Mayhew-1000 coring drill[2], an HQ rotary-wireline core system (6.4-cm-diameter core size), a conventional diamond bit, and unmudded water. The hole was cored through loose sands with continual advancement of steel casing to a depth of 18.6 m, where the casing was seated in a siltstone. Circulation was lost in the upper coal

[2]Any use of trade names in this report is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Geological Survey.



Figure 2. Generalized lithologic log of drill hole CE-82-1, Chuitna East coal field, Cook Inlet region, Alaska.

bed at 32.0 m. As a result, a thick mud consisting of SH 1200 L (a "shale" inhibitor) and Thick-N-Thin (a biodegradable polymer) was pumped into the hole to restore circulation. Casing was advanced to 34.4 m. During subsequent drilling, the casing had to be partially pulled. The casing was reset at a depth of 30.2 m, where it became wedged in the upper coal bed. Drilling ceased on June 29, 1982, after penetrating a short distance below the lower coal bed. Total depth of the hole was 114.6 m.

FIELD GEOTECHNICAL-LOGGING OPERATION

The core was retrieved, logged, tested, and packaged as follows.

The core contained in the split-tube sampler was transferred at the drill rig to the logging team. Drilling information was recorded, including time of recovery, depths of the interval cored, nature of the drilling fluid, and hydrologic conditions.

In the field laboratory, the core was photographed on color film using both an instantaneous-development camera and a 35-mm single-lens reflex camera. Included in each photograph was a card identifying the core-tube number and core depth, and an appropriate page from the rock-color chart of Goddard and others (1970). Information on discontinuities, color, laminations, hardness, and degree of weathering was recorded.

Strength index and moisture-content tests were performed on selected samples to provide a base of field data to compare with subsequent laboratory tests. To test samples having unconfined compressive strengths of less than 0.44 MPa, a pocket-penetrometer strength tester (Soiltest, Inc., 1978) was used. For material of greater strength, the point-load test of Broch and Franklin (1972) was used. The presence of carbonate was determined by applying dilute (15 percent) hydrochloric acid to the core at selected intervals. The lithology and geotechnical data determined in the field are given in the geotechnical log, plate 1. Additional tests to be conducted in the laboratory include grain-size distribution, Atterberg limits, bulk densities, clay mineralogy, slake durability, and unconfined compressive strength, as well as geochemistry and coal-quality analyses.

Untested core was wrapped in cheesecloth, labeled, coated with polycrystalline wax, and placed in cardboard boxes with split styrene inserts to protect the core and to minimize disturbance during transport to U.S. Geological Survey laboratories in Colorado. To avoid contamination, core samples containing coal strata were not waxed; instead they were sealed in plastic sleeves.

GEOTECHNICAL PROPERTIES

Lithology

The five principal lithologies observed in CE-82-1 core, in order of decreasing abundance, are: siltstone, sandstone (including loose uncemented sand and gravel), coal, claystone (including carbonaceous claystone and

carbonaceous shale), and diamicton. About 20 percent of the total core material was not recovered.

Siltstone makes up approximately 34 percent of the core. The thickest siltstone unit lies between 57.0 and 87.1 m, between the two thick coal beds. Laminae and beds of sandstone, loose sand, and claystone, ranging in thickness from a few millimeters to 0.49 m, occur throughout this large siltstone unit. Thin siltstone units are scattered throughout the cored section. These siltstones vary from sandy to clayey. Thin stringers of carbonaceous material and small inclusions of weakly carbonate cemented nodules are common throughout the siltstone.

Sandstone (including uncemented sand and gravel) forms approximately 31 percent of the total core. It ranges in texture from very fine to coarse grained and varies from poorly to well sorted. Noncemented to weakly cemented sandstone occurs in three principle units: (1) 3.0–19.0 m, (2) 44.0–56.0 m, and (3) 110.0–114.6 m. The two upper units, composed mainly of uncemented sand with gravel at their base, were poorly recovered. Approximately 60 percent of the core from each of the upper units was not recovered. Caving of the uppermost unit during the removal of casing prevented completion of the geophysical logging. The lowermost unit (110.0–114.6 m), composed predominantly of fine- to medium-grained sand, ranges from very soft to very hard in consistency and was recovered without core loss. A very hard zone at 112.8 m is composed of a 0.3-m-thick carbonate-cemented unit.

Coal, thought to be lignitic to subbituminous, constitutes about 17 percent of the material cored. Two thick coal beds were identified from the core: (1) an upper coal bed from 29.0 to 36.9 m and (2) a lower bed from 98.2 to 111.0 m. Both coal beds vary from massive to highly fractured, vertically and horizontally, and have thin partings near their tops—sandstone in the upper coal bed and claystone in the lower bed. Both coal beds also contain a tuffaceous(?) bed approximately 10.2 cm thick at 31.0 and 109.4 m, respectively.

Claystone, carbonaceous claystone, and carbonaceous shale make up approximately 15 percent of the cored material and occur primarily as units immediately above each major coal bed at 26.0–28.0 and 91.0–98.0 m. Contorted bedding zones were found within both units. This material, containing scattered laminae of siltstone, sandstone, and coal, grades into coaly or carbonaceous horizons.

Diamicton, which represents about 3 percent of the cored material, extends from beneath the surface mantle of organic material and volcanic ash layers to a depth of 3.1 m. The diamicton is poorly sorted, with particles ranging in size from clay to boulders, and is presumably of glacial origin.

Discontinuities

We identified primarily three types of discontinuities in drill hole CE-82-1: (1) high-angle to near-vertical joints (60° -85°), (2) bedding-break separations, and (3) broken zones. The discontinuities in the core observed immediately after recovery are plotted on plate 1 using a system modified from Rankilor (1974). It is difficult to distinguish between fractures induced during drilling and natural fractures, however, joints and bedding breaks plotted on plate 1 are believed to be natural.

All three types of discontinuities were found primarily in the two coal beds. Most fractures within the coal beds appeared to be fresh with no clear evidence of secondary mineralization or slickensides. The fresh nature of the fractures suggests that they may result in part from drilling and in part from the expansion of the coal along joint planes after removal from the confines of in-situ stress.

High-angle fractures and bedding-break separations were noted in the other lithologies, but were less frequent. However, slickensides were noted along many of the fractures in the claystones and clayey siltstones. In addition, offsets of 2.1–3.1 cm in the siltstone bedding were noted at 77.6 cm and in the interval from 89.5 to 89.73 m; suggesting some minor faulting, possibly in response to overburden loading.

Strength Properties

Strength indices were conducted usually within a half hour of core extraction; thus, the samples are believed to have been at or near their natural moisture contents at the time of testing. Materials with strength values beyond the limit of the pocket penetrometer (0.44 MPa) were tested in the field using the point-load method of Broch and Franklin (1972). The point-load method is designed to test the core samples both diametrally (load applied parallel to the bedding plane) and axially (load applied perpendicular to the bedding plane). Resultant strength values, I_s, were "corrected" to a reference diameter (I_s (50)) of 5.0 cm, and the approximate unconfined compressive strength (I_s (50) × 24) was calculated by multiplying the reference diameter value by an empirically determined coefficient of 24. The method was developed for horizontally laid strata; bedding planes from the CE-82-1 core dip from 5° to 20° with respect to the horizontal. Comparison of these results with strength values calculated on nearby, near-horizontal strata of similar material (Chleborad and others, 1980, 1982) shows little difference in the ranges of strength values; thus, the results reported here are believed to be valid. However, the degree of dip made it difficult to obtain through-breaks on the axial tests; axial values may be low because failure would often occur as slippage along bedding planes.

Sandstone, loose sand, and gravel all tested within the range of the pocket penetrometer. These values, shown in plate 1, are excluded from the following discussion.

Approximate unconfined compressive strength indices for all lithologies ranged from 0.96 to 11.3 MPa for diametral tests, and from 1.2 to 11.3 MPa for axial tests. The mean-strength index values for the lithologies range from a stiff soil to a soft rock when compared to a relative scale of soil and rock "hardness" devised by Jennings and Robertson (1969; fig. 3). The prevalence of low-strength values indicates that the material can be easily excavated. However, the low values and the interbedded nature of hard and soft layers also indicate a potential for erosion and for cut-slope stability problems, if conditions are not adequately evaluated in development plans.

Point-load test data are presented as a function of depth in table 1. Mean and median approximate unconfined compressive strength indices determined from diametral and axial tests, and a strength anisotropy index (I_a (50), Broch and Franklin, 1972) for the lithologies are given in table 2. The anisotropy index (I_a (50)) is defined as the ratio of the median strength in the strongest direction to the median strength in the weakest direction (Broch and Franklin, 1972). An anisotropy index of 1 indicates that the material tested is essentially isotropic; greater than 1 indicates anistropy, with the axial strength being greater than the diametral strength.

The anisotropy indices (table 2) listed for the various lithologies from drill hole CE-82-1 suggest that the materials, with the exception of carbonaceous shale, are isotropic to slightly anisotropic. Siltstone, clayey siltstone, claystone, and carbonacous claystone appear slightly stronger parallel to the bedding direction than in the axial direction; difficulties in obtaining clean through-breaks in the axial tests may account for the lower strength values in the axial direction. Coal appears only slightly stronger perpendicular to the bedding than parallel to it. High-angle to near-vertical jointing in the coal has probably weakened the overall strength of the coal in the axial direction. Carbonaceous shale appears to be highly anisotropic; the fissile nature of the shale accounts for its weakness along bedding planes.



Figure 3. Relationship between qualitative hardness and unconfined compressive strength. (Modified from Jennings and Robertson, 1969.)

Moisture Content

Moisture-content measurements were taken at intervals of approximately 0.5 m or at changes in lithology. Samples, weighing 15–30 g, were dried for about 4 hours in an oven at 105°C, and then weighed again to determine the moisture loss. Moisture-content data as a function of depth are presented in table 3. Mean and median moisture-content data in percent dry weight, and the number of samples tested for the various lithologies, are listed in table 4.

Although some lithologies were represented by only a few samples, it was felt that combining them into fewer lithologic groups would be misleading. Generally, the moisture-content values for the lithologies fall within an acceptable range. Excluding the coal, carbonaceous claystone and shale had the highest moisture-content values. Generally, moisture-content values are higher in carbonaceous materials and in coarse or well-sorted materials and lower in poorly sorted and fine-grained materials. Coal moisture contents are not meant to be definitive values for the ranking of coal, rather they are a first approximation. Their high values may be due to the drilling process. The higher moisture content for the upper coal with respect to the lower coal may reflect the more broken nature of the upper coal or an actual difference in composition.

DISCUSSION OF GEOPHYSICAL LOGGING

A suite of five borehole geophysical logs (pl. 2) was recorded for drill hole CE-82-1, starting about 15 hours after drilling ended. A fluid-temperature log was recorded first, followed in order by natural gamma, gamma-gamma, neutron, and caliper logs. The same Well Reconnaissance Geologger was used as in 1979–81 to supplement drill-hole data (Chleborad and others, 1980, 1982; Odum and others, 1983, respectively). Because drilling procedures and lithologies were similar to those of previous drill holes in the Beluga coal fields, log responses generally showed the same magnitudes of deflection.

One notable difference (disappointment) between CE-82-1 and the three drill holes logged in prior years was the natural filling of most the hole prior to the completion of logging. After five logging runs, the casing was

Table 1. Point-load data as a function of depth for drill hole CE-82-1
[a, axial; d, diametral]

Lithology	Depth (meters)	Orientation of load	Strength (MPa)		
			I_s	$I_s(50)$	$I_s(50)$ X 24
Siltstone---	20.5	d	0.061	0.067	1.58
	20.6	a	.071	.080	1.92
	21.1	d	.043	.048	1.15
	21.2	a	.044	.048	1.15
Clayey siltstone-	22.3	d	.137	.150	3.60
	22.4	a	.122	.135	3.24
Siltstone---	23.9	d	.072	.080	1.92
	24.0	a	.101	.115	2.76
Carbonaceous claystone-	25.4	d	.144	.157	3.77
	25.5	a	.047	.051	1.22
Carbonaceous shale-----	26.6	d	.036	.039	.94
Carbonaceous siltstone-	37.0	d	.098	.107	2.57
	37.1	a	.050	.055	1.32
Siltstone---	38.6	a	.101	.109	2.62
	39.2	d	.137	.147	3.53
Clayey siltstone-	39.7	d	.168	.183	4.39
	39.8	a	.109	.125	3.00
Sandstone---	42.5	d	.038	.041	.98
	42.6	a	.073	.082	1.97
Siltstone---	44.2	d	.140	.154	3.70
	44.3	a	.098	.108	2.59
	56.3	d	.234	.250	6.00
	56.4	a	.119	.134	3.22
Coaly claystone-	57.9	d	.056	.062	1.49
	58.0	a	.093	.104	2.50
Siltstone---	59.7	d	.114	.125	3.00
	59.8	a	.101	.112	2.69
	60.3	d	.096	.103	2.47
	60.4	a	.061	.067	1.61
	63.2	d	.146	.160	3.84
	63.3	a	.133	.148	3.55
	64.3	a	.185	.220	5.28
	65.4	d	.156	.172	4.13
Clayey siltstone-	65.8	d	.104	.115	2.76
	65.9	a	.044	.048	1.15
Silty claystone-	67.3	a	.064	.070	1.68
Clayey siltstone-	69.0	d	.150	.167	4.00
Siltstone---	69.5	d	.134	.143	3.43
	69.6	a	.093	.104	2.50
	70.9	a	.123	.138	3.31
	72.0	d	.145	.158	3.79
	72.6	d	.082	.090	2.16
	72.7	a	.126	.139	3.34
Siltstone---	74.4	d	.084	.092	2.21
	75.8	d	.080	.088	2.11
	75.9	a	.154	.172	4.13
Clayey siltstone-	76.4	d	.224	.245	5.88
	76.5	a	.114	.129	3.10
Silty claystone-	77.5	d	0.119	0.132	3.17
	77.6	a	.072	.080	1.92
	79.4	d	.085	.094	2.26
	79.5	a	.046	.051	1.22
Clayey siltstone-	80.3	d	.093	.101	2.42
	80.4	a	.140	.155	3.72
Siltstone---	81.0	d	.068	.074	1.78
	81.1	a	.074	.081	1.94
	82.5	a	.127	.140	3.36
	82.8	d	.090	.100	2.40
	82.9	a	.098	.109	2.62
Clayey siltstone-	84.0	d	.113	.126	3.02
	84.1	a	.108	.118	2.83
Siltstone---	85.8	d	.059	.063	1.51
	86.0	a	.055	.059	1.42
	86.8	d	.085	.092	2.21
	86.9	a	.058	.062	1.49
	88.7	d	.161	.178	4.27
	88.8	a	.099	.110	2.64
	89.9	d	.133	.145	3.48
	90.0	a	.091	.107	2.57
Clayey siltstone-	90.7	d	.184	.210	5.04
Silty claystone-	91.8	d	.092	.107	2.57
	91.9	a	.112	.125	3.00
Claystone---	92.7	d	.184	.210	5.04
	92.8	a	.054	.060	1.44
	94.4	d	.056	.062	1.49
	94.5	a	.068	.075	1.80
	95.6	d	.128	.139	3.34
	95.7	a	.135	.151	3.62
Carbonaceous claystone-	97.3	d	.132	.145	3.48
	97.4	a	.164	.195	4.68
Clayey coal-	98.5	d	.054	.059	1.42
	98.6	a	.117	.128	3.07
Carbonaceous claystone-	99.5	d	.113	.131	3.14
	99.6	a	.097	.109	2.62
Coal--------	101.0	d	.435	.465	11.16
	101.5	d	.408	.445	10.68
	101.6	a	.647	.720	17.28
	103.2	d	.115	.125	3.00
	104.1	d	.421	.460	11.04
	108.2	d	.073	.082	1.97
	108.3	a	.184	.210	5.04
	110.2	d	.127	.138	3.31
	110.3	a	.290	.330	7.92
Siltstone---	112.0	d	.207	.230	5.52
	112.1	a	.130	.143	3.43
	112.8	d	.144	.158	3.79
	112.9	a	.106	.117	2.81

pulled upward in an attempt to expose more of the upper section to electric logging. However, the loose, sandy unit above 15 m, identified from the gamma-gamma and geotechnical logs, collapsed into the hole. It is regrettable that the single-point resistance and spontaneous potential logs were not run originally in the open hole below 30.2 m. Even during the 8 hours of logging, material caving from below the casing reduced the hole depth by

Table 2. Mean and median unconfined compressive strength data and anisotropy index for drill hole CE-82-1

Lithology	Number of samples		Approximate unconfined compressive strength (MPa)				Anisotropy index
			Mean		Median		
	Mean calculation	Median calculation	Diametral	Axial	Diametral	Axial	
Siltstone---	24	24	3.12	2.64	3.60	2.64	1.36
Clayey siltstone-	10	8	3.60	2.64	3.60	3.12	1.15
Claystone---	8	9	2.64	2.16	2.40	2.16	1.11
Carbonaceous claystone-	3	3	3.60	2.88	3.60	2.64	1.36
Carbonaceous shale-----	2	1	1.20	3.12	1.20	3.12	2.60
Coal--------	6	3	6.72	10.08	7.20	7.92	1.10

approximately 3.7 m, as evidenced by comparing the bottom-hole caliper-log depth to that of the temperature log. This rate of filling by natural caving was greater than that which occurred in our earlier drill holes. The caliper log does not show that any specific unit contributed most of the caved material; however, the sandstones at the bottom of the hole below the depth of the caliper log are suspect. Evidence supporting this contention is found in the "loose" and "soft" descriptors in the geotechnical log (pl. 1).

As with the other logged drill holes in the coal field, the radiation-log suite distinctly shows the contacts between the five principal lithologic types discussed previously. The contacts drawn across plate 2 were interpreted as representing significant changes in subsurface properties, and show good correlation with most of the major contacts shown on the geotechnical log. At a depth of 90.9 m, changes in log character are subtle and this contact would not have been recognized without the benefit of the lithologic description resulting from core analyses.

Naturally occurring gamma radiation, emitted primarily by radioactive elements associated with clay minerals in sediments or volcanic rocks, is statistically sampled by borehole logging. An increase in radioactivity, continuously averaged over a constant time interval, results in an increase in the cps (counts per second). The natural gamma log shows that (1) the two major coal beds are characterized by very low cps, (2) the two major sandstone units have moderate radiation levels, and (3) the siltstone/claystone units yielded a wider and higher cps range.

The gamma-gamma log portrays relative differences in bulk density—a composite of borehole fluid, rock, and formation fluid densities. The coals, which are of lowest density and thus yield the highest cps, are readily recognizable. However, opposite most other lithologies, the log is a direct reflection of borehole-diameter variations, as indicated by close similarity with the caliper log.

The epithermal neutron probe senses the relative abundance of the hydrogen atoms and normally reflects the variation of "total porosity" of saturated earth materials (Keys and MacCary, 1971). The radiation intensity recorded by the probe's detector varies inversely with this porosity. The neutron log indicates that the sandstone unit between 44.8 and 57.2 m has the least saturated porosity of the geologic units. Prior geophysical borehole logging in the Beluga coal fields (Chleborad and others, 1980, 1982; Odum and others, 1983) suggests that sandstones in this region typically possess this characteristic, although log interpretations are complicated by hole enlargements and prevent any firm conclusion.

The hydrogen in coal causes the neutron probe to react as if it "sensed" high water-filled porosity. Thus, coal cannot be interpreted as possessing the highest porosity just because the cps response was lowest. A further complicating factor is that the casing ended within the upper section of the upper coal bed. As a result, the neutron log below the casing shifted 30–40 cps to the left, and, therefore, the cased section of coal contains about the same amount of water as the coals below the casing.

The gravelly zone between 52.9 and 55.8 m on the geologic log is a moderately firm, cemented sandy gravel and shows as tight and dense on the radiation logs. Each of these logs exhibits a significant feature. A broad low in porosity (about 290 cps) occurs on the neutron log, although it is shifted down a little with respect to the geologic log. The gamma-gamma response opposite this bed represents the highest bulk density recorded in the hole extending below the bottom of the casing. An unexpected increase in natural gamma-count rate occurs, which

Table 3. Moisture-content data as a function of depth for drill hole CE–82–1

Lithology	Depth (meters)	Moisture content (percent dry weight)
Fine-grained sand	12.3	17.4
Medium-grained sand	15.4	23.1
Fine- to medium-grained sand	17.1	14.1
Sandy siltstone	19.2	17.8
Interbedded siltstone and sandstone	21.2	18.3
Siltstone	21.8	11.7
	22.4	16.6
Clayey siltstone	24.1	19.6
Claystone	25.5	17.0
Carbonaceous shale	26.2	23.0
	26.6	18.0
	28.2	24.8
Coal	31.7	43.6
	36.0	55.2
Carbonaceous clayey siltstone	37.8	15.6
Siltstone	38.6	16.2
	39.2	16.0
Sandy siltstone	39.3	19.3
Clayey siltstone	39.7	15.9
Fine- to medium-grained sandstone	40.7	19.4
Silty, very fine grained sandstone	42.55	15.5
Clayey siltstone	44.35	10.0
Coarse-grained sandstone	53.5	10.9
Fine- to medium-grained sandstone	54.3	10.4
Coarse- to fine-grained sandstone	55.8	15.9
Interbedded siltstone and coaly beds	57.1	18.8
Silty claystone	58.0	15.8
Siltstone	60.1	14.2
Claystone	60.4	16.0
	60.8	15.7
Silty claystone	61.4	18.6
Claystone	61.8	17.4
Siltstone	62.6	14.0
	63.3	15.0
Clayey siltstone	63.7	14.4
	64.4	15.4
Siltstone	65.0	15.8
	65.4	13.3
Clayey siltstone	65.8	14.6
Silty claystone	66.3	16.2
Carbonaceous silty claystone	67.3	16.1
Clayey siltstone	67.6	18.6
	69.0	14.3
	69.2	16.4
Siltstone	69.6	14.5
Sandy siltstone	70.1	16.9
	70.2	17.9
	70.9	18.1
	71.4	17.9
	72.1	14.0
Siltstone	72.6	17.1
	73.6	16.0
Siltstone	74.6	12.1
	75.1	18.8
	75.8	15.3
	76.5	14.2
Silty claystone	77.5	14.5
	78.3	18.6
Carbonaceous silty claystone	78.6	17.6
Silty claystone	79.4	15.4
Clayey siltstone	80.1	15.4
	80.4	16.0
Siltstone	81.0	17.3
	81.6	15.9
Interbedded siltstone and claystone	82.5	17.5
Clayey siltstone	82.9	16.3
Siltstone	83.6	14.2
Clayey siltstone	84.1	14.6
Siltstone	85.1	13.8
	86.0	18.8
Clayey siltstone	86.5	16.5
Siltstone	86.9	19.6
	87.8	14.8
	88.8	16.2
	90.0	14.3
Clayey siltstone	90.8	17.8
Silty claystone	91.9	19.0
	92.1	16.6
Claystone	92.6	20.9
	93.4	17.6
Silty claystone	94.0	17.8
	94.5	16.7
	95.6	17.8
Claystone	96.3	17.9
	96.6	21.3
	97.3	18.8
Claystone with coal beds	97.7	32.4
Coal	99.3	32.6
Very carbonaceous claystone	99.6	23.7
Coal	108.3	35.9
Coaly shale	109.3	31.6
Coal	110.3	39.6
Fine- to medium-grained sandstone	110.9	17.5
	111.0	15.6
	111.1	15.9
	111.4	17.1
Clayey siltstone	112.0	12.8
	112.1	14.8
Siltstone with carbonate cement	112.8	2.4
Siltstone	112.9	13.7
Fine- to medium-grained sandstone	113.3	18.0
	113.7	20.3
	113.9	18.4
	114.5	20.1

probably is due to either granitic-type gravels or volcanic inclusions in the bed.

The high-resolution temperature log does not have as much character as those recorded in our previous drill holes in the Beluga coal fields. Besides a bow-shaped temperature profile opposite the uppermost major coal, two sharp spikes of about 0.22°C were recorded at 75.3 and 108.2 m. The sudden increase in temperature at 75.3 m seemingly correlates with the most pronounced hole enlargement recorded on the caliper log. Although perhaps coincidental, the geotechnical log mentions slickenslides at 75.9 m in a moderately thick, firm to very

Table 4. Mean and median moisture-content data for drill hole CE-82-1

Lithology	Number of samples	Moisture content	
		Mean (percent)	Median (percent)
Medium-grained sandstone	4	20.5	20.2
Fine-grained sandstone--	10	16.1	16.4
Fine- to coarse-grained sandstone----------	2	13.4	13.4
Siltstone----------	28	15.5	15.2
Sandy siltstone----------	9	17.4	17.9
Clayey siltstone--------	18	15.9	15.8
Claystone----------	8	18.3	17.8
Silty claystone---------	13	17.0	16.7
Carbonaceous claystone--	2	28.1	28.1
Carbonaceous shale------	4	24.4	23 9
Upper coal----------	2	49.4	49.4
Lower coal----------	3	36.0	35.9

firm siltstone. Ground water, slightly warmer than its surrounding environment, is believed to be entering the borehole from a thin, presumably fractured zone. This inflow and temperature anomaly could result from depressed water level in the borehole that had not risen to stabilization (static level) after drilling ceased.

The temperature spike at 108.2 m could not be explained by observing the other logs. The radiation and caliper logs do not indicate a zone of weakness here. If the temperature "blip" is real, fractures too narrow for the probes to detect must be present.

A bowing in the temperature profile opposite the upper coal bed, followed downhole by a gentle decline in borehole temperature in the underlying sandstones and siltstones, is observable. Because the area's ground-water-flow system has not been defined, any explanation of the bowing phenomenon is speculative. An alternative to a hydrothermal cause is that enough oxygen-rich drilling water may have been lost to the coal to produce detectable heat from slight oxidation.

The geotechnical log describes a thin tuff(?) layer in both the upper and lower coals. With the possible exception of the gamma-gamma log in the upper coal, the logs do not show any recognizable responses to these thin layers. Although interesting log deflections were recorded opposite another possible tuff(?) layer in CW-81-2, the deposits in CE-82-1 are only half as thick (0.9 cm) and are probably too thin for the radiation probes to discriminate.

REFERENCES CITED

Barnes, F. F., 1966, Geology and coal resources of the Beluga-Yentna region, Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1202-C, 54 p.

Broch, E., and Franklin, J. A., 1972, The point-load strength test: International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences, v. 9, no. 6, p. 669-697.

Chleborad, A. F., Yehle, L. A., Schmoll, H. R., and Gardner, C. A., 1980, Preliminary field geotechnical and geophysical logs from a drill hole in the Capps coal field, Cook Inlet region, Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 80-393, 17 p.

Chleborad, A. F., Yehle, L. A., Schmoll, H. R., Gardner, C. A., and Dearborn, L. L., 1982, Preliminary geotechnical and geophysical logs from drill hole 2C-80 in the Capps coal field, Cook Inlet region, Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 82-884, 9 p.

Goddard, E. N., chairman, and others, 1970, Rock-color chart: National Research Council; reprinted by Geological Society of America, 6 p.

Jennings, J. E., and Robertson, A. M. G., 1969, The stability of slopes cut into natural rock: International Conference Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, 7th, Mexico, Proceedings, v. 2, p. 585-590.

Keys, W. S., and MacCary, L. M., 1971, Application of borehole geophysics to water-resources investigations, Chapter E1: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, TWI 2-E1, 126 p.

Odum, J. K., Gardner, C. A., Yehle, L. A., Schmoll, H. R., and Dearborn, L. L., 1983, Preliminary lithologic, geotechnical, and geophysical data from drill hole CW-81-2, Chuitna West coal field, Cook Inlet region, Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 83-78, 12 p.

Ramsey, J. P., 1981, Geology—Coal resources and mining plan for the Chuitna River field, Alaska, *in* Rao, P. D., and Wolff, E. N., eds., Focus on Alaska's coal "80", Conference, Fairbanks, Alaska, October 21-23, 1980, Proceedings: Fairbanks, University of Alaska, School of Mineral Industry, MIRL Report 50, p. 111-121.

Rankilor, P. R., 1974, A suggested field system of logging rock cores for engineering purposes: Association of Engineering Geologists Bulletin, v. 11, no. 3, p. 247-258.

Schmoll, H. R., Chleborad, A. F., Yehle, L. A., Gardner, C. A., and Pasch, A. D., 1981, Reconnaissance engineering geology of the Beluga coal resource area, southcentral Alaska, *in* Rao, P. D., and Wolff, E. N., eds., Focus on Alaska's Coal "80", Conference, Fairbanks, Alaska, October 21-23, 1980, Proceedings: Fairbanks, University of Alaska, School of Mineral Industry, MIRL Report 50, p. 92-110.

Soiltest, Inc., 1978, Pocket penetrometer operating details—Soiltest model CL-700: Soiltest, Inc., 2205 Lee Street, Evanston, Illinois, 60202, Bulletin 151-64, [pamphlet].

Wolfe, J. A., Hopkins, D. M., and Leopold, E. B., 1966, Tertiary stratigraphy and paleobotany of the Cook Inlet Region, Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 398-A, 29 p.

Wolfe, J. A., and Tanai, Toshimasa, 1980, The Miocene Seldovia Point Flora from the Kenai Group, Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 105, 52 p.

* U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 1986: 676-047/26024

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

BULLETIN 1637
PLATE 1

GEOTECHNICAL TESTS

Depth (meters)	Lithologic description	Joints	Bedding break separations (mm) min.	avg.	max.
0	Peat, volcanic ash, and diamicton; basal organics at approximately 1.05 m; ash layers from 1.05 to 1.2 m; diamicton, glacial till, from 1.2 to 1.4 m				
	Diamicton, light-olive-gray (5Y 5/2), very fine pebbly glacial till; firm				
	Sandstone, moderate-yellowish-brown (10YR 5/2), medium-grained, soft, oxidized				
	Sandstone, dark-yellowish-brown (10YR 4/2) to moderate-brown (5YR 4/4), medium- to coarse-grained, poorly sorted; base firm and oxidized, upper interval soft				
5	Sandstone, moderate-brown (4YR 4/4) to medium-light-gray (N6), very fine to medium-grained; poorly sorted; hardness variable with interbedded soft and firm zones; oxidized				
	Sandstone, olive-brown (5Y 5/3) to medium-light-gray (N6), fine-grained; scattered limonitic specs; hardness variable with interbedded very soft and firm zones				
10	Sandstone, olive-brown (5Y 5/3), massive; top and bottom 0.1 m are medium- to coarse-grained; central interval fine- to medium-grained; coarser grained beds soft, finer grained beds firm				
	Sandstone, grayish-olive-green (5Y 3/2) to moderate-olive-brown (5Y 4/4), massive, medium-grained grading to fine-grained, well sorted; high quartz content; firm				
15	Sandstone, dark-yellowish-brown (10YR 3/2), massive, medium-grained, moderately well sorted; subrounded primarily quartz grains; very soft to soft				
	Sandstone, dark-yellowish-brown (10YR 4/2), fine- to medium-grained; primarily subrounded quartz grains; finer grained beds soft to firm, coarser grained beds very soft				
	Siltstone, medium-gray (N5), massive, sandy, micaceous, firm				
20	Siltstone, medium-dark-gray (N4) to medium-gray (N5), very finely to finely laminated, clayey with occasional coal stringers; firm; carbonate "blebs" scattered throughout the lower two-thirds of the unit; grades into unit below	85° 85°			
25	Claystone, olive-gray (5Y 4/1), massive; few scattered coal inclusions; very firm; grades into unit below				
	Carbonaceous shale, brownish-gray (5YR 4/1), finely laminated; fissile; thin claystone interbeds	B	0	3	5
	Coal, black (N1)	B	0	2	3
	Sandstone, grayish-black (N2), fine-grained with clay and silt; soft				
30	Coal, black (N1) to brownish-black (5YR 2/1); core highly fractured and broken into subangular pieces; hard; moderate-yellowish-brown (10YR 5/4) tuff (?) parting from 30.95 to 31.02 m	B	0	2	5
		B	0	4	10
		B	0	4	6
		75°			
	Coal, black (N1) to brownish-black (5YR 2/1) to grayish-black (N2); core highly fractured and broken to 35.2 m obscuring bedding, finely laminated from 35.3 to 36.8 m; hard; 0.1-m no recovery zones from 35.2 to 35.3 m and 36.6 to 37.7 m	B	0	4	12
		85° 85°			
35		85° 85° 85° 85°			
	Siltstone, dusky-yellowish-brown (10YR 2/2) to medium-gray (N5), finely laminated; clayey and carbonaceous with decreasing carbonaceous content downwards; firm	60° 60°			
	Siltstone, medium-gray (N5); thick interbeds of fine-grained sand and clayey siltstone; firm; gradational contact with unit below				
40	Sandstone, olive-gray (5Y 4/1) to medium-gray (N5), fine- to medium-grained with carbonaceous laminae; firm; 0.6-cm diameter quartz pebble at 40.2 m				
	Siltstone, olive-gray (5Y 4/1); 0.1-m fine-grained sandstone at top; hard				
	Sandstone, medium-dark-gray (N4) to medium-gray (N5), massive, very fine grained, silty; includes interbeds of coaly fragments from 42.3 to 42.9 m and 43.7 to 44.1 m; very firm; gradational contact with unit below	B	0	5	8
		85° 85°			
45	Siltstone, medium-dark-gray (N4), very finely laminated, clayey; very firm				
	Sandstone, medium-light-gray, (N6), fine-grained; soft; irregular lump of bedded siltstone surrounded by sandstone				
	Sandstone, medium-dark-gray (N6), massive; fine-grained with coal fragments scattered throughout; soft				
50	Siltstone, medium-dark-gray (N4), very finely laminated; very fine grained sandstone interbeds; small pebbles at base; hard				
	Sandstone, medium-gray (N5), massive, medium-grained, well sorted; soft				

Point load $I_s(50)$ (MPa): 0.1, 0.2, 0.3

Approximate unconfined compressive strength $I_s(50)\times24$ (MPa): 2, 4, 6

Pocket penetrometer (MPa): 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4

Moisture content dry weight (percent): 10, 20, 30

Depth (meters): 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, 45, 50

EXPLANATION

Lithologic Symbols

- DIAMICTON
- CONGLOMERATE
- SANDSTONE
- SILTSTONE—Blocks denote carbonaceous zones
- CLAYSTONE—Blocks denote carbonaceous zones
- CARBONACEOUS SHALE
- COAL
- NO RECOVERY

Geotechnical Tests

Notation of the discontinuities column (Modified after Rankilor, 1974)


Minimum separation of bedding breaks in centimeters; the smallest pieces were 3 centimeters long
Typical separation of bedding breaks in centimeters; average length of cored pieces was 6 centimeters
Maximum separation of bedding breaks in centimeters; the longest piece was 18 centimeters long
Degrees shown indicate approximate angle that joint forms with the horizontal
15
3
6
18
60°
1
2
3
B
0
1
2
Largest dimension of the largest broken piece in centimeters; the largest fragment was approximately 2 centimeters across
Strata broken into non-cylindrical fragments
By convention zero always shown here for broken strata
Typical dimension of most of the broken pieces in centimeters

Point load calculated in megapascals using: $I_s(50)$=point-load test value corrected to a reference diameter (Broch and Franklin, 1972). ×, diametral test; ▲, axial test. See table 1 for numerical values

Approximate unconfined compressive strength calculated in megapascals using: $I_s(50)\times24$=approximate unconfined uniaxial strength (Broch and Franklin, 1972). ×, diametral test; ▲, axial test. See table 1 for numerical values

Pocket penetrometer: ●< symbol denotes material with a strength less than that which can be tested by the pocket penetrometer; >● symbol denotes material with a strength greater than that which can be tested by the pocket penetrometer

Moisture content — See table 2 for numerical values

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

BULLETIN 1637
PLATE 2

RADIATION LOGS

ELECTRIC LOGS

NATURAL GAMMA

Logging speed: 4.6m/min. up
Time constant: 2s

Increasing radiation →

Depth (meters)

COUNTS PER SECOND
0 40 80 120 160

NEUTRON

Logging speed: 4.6m/min. up
Time constant: 2s
Source and spacing: 3 curie $^{241}AmBe$; 17in.

← Increasing H^+ concentration

COUNTS PER SECOND
0 300 500 700

0 100 200 300

GAMMA-GAMMA

Logging speed: 4.6m/min. up
Time constant: 1s
Source and spacing: 3 millicurie ^{60}Co; 17in.

← Increasing bulk density

COUNTS PER SECOND
0 1000 2000 3000 4000 5000

WATER LEVEL

END OF CASING

CALIPER LOG

Logging speed: 4.6m/min. up

DIAMETER, CENTIMETERS
10 15

BOREHOLE-TEMPERATURE LOG

Logging speed: 3.3m/min. down
Time constant: 1s

DEGREES CELSIUS
4 5 6 7

GEOLOGIC CORE LOG

Depth (meters)

0 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50 55 60 65 70 75 80 85 90 95 100 105 110

EXPLANATION

- DIAMICTON
- CONGLOMERATE
- SANDSTONE
- SILTSTONE—Blocks denote carbonaceous zones
- CLAYSTONE—Blocks denote carbonaceous zones
- CARBONACEOUS SHALE
- COAL
- NO RECOVERY

COMPOSITE OF REFINED GEOPHYSICAL LOGS AND LITHOLOGICAL LOGS, DRILL HOLE CE-82-1

fragments from 42.3 to 42.9m and 43.7 to 44.1m; very firm; gradational contact with unit below

Siltstone, medium-dark-gray (*N4*), very finely laminated, clayey; very firm

Sandstone, medium-light-gray, (*N6*), fine-grained; soft; irregular lump of bedded siltstone surrounded by sandstone

Sandstone, medium-dark-gray (*N6*), massive; fine-grained with coal fragments scattered throughout; soft

Siltstone, medium-dark-gray (*N4*), very finely laminated; very fine grained sandstone interbeds; small pebbles at base; hard

Sandstone, medium-gray (*N5*), massive, medium-grained, well sorted; soft
Interbedded siltstone, pebbly gravel, clayey siltstone, and medium-grained sandstone
Sandstone, medium-light-gray (*N6*), massive, fine- to medium-grained

Conglomerate, medium-dark-gray (*N4*) to medium-gray (*N5*), sandy, very fine grained; subangular to subrounded grains and pebbles; moderately firm

Sandstone, medium-dark-gray (*N4*), medium-grained with small pebbles; soft

Conglomerate and coarse-grained sandstone, medium-dark-gray (*N4*); soft

Siltstone, medium-dark-gray (*N4*), finely laminated; grades into carbonaceous siltstone; 0.1-m coal lens from 56.9 to 57.0 m

Claystone, medium-gray (*N5*), finely laminated; very firm; silty with coal fragments and carbonate "blebs" scattered throughout; grades into clayey siltstone at base

Siltstone, medium-light-gray (*N6*), massive; firm; carbonate "blebs" throughout; gradational contact with unit below

Claystone, medium-gray (*N5*) to medium-dark-gray (*N4*), finely laminated at base, coaly; very firm; grades into carbonaceous claystone at base
Claystone, olive-black (5*Y* 2/1), massive, silty; very hard; basal 0.15-m siltstone, brownish-black (5*YR* 2/1), sandy with carbonaceous stringers; very hard

Siltstone, medium-dark-gray (*N4*) to medium-gray (*N5*), massive, sandy, grading into a clayey siltstone by 63.3m; hard; carbonate "blebs" scattered throughout sandy interval, carbonaceous stringers in clayey interval

Siltstone, medium gray (*N5*) to medium-light gray (*N6*), massive, grading into clayey siltstone; very firm; laminae of coal fragments at 65.6m; gradational contact with unit below

Claystone, medium-gray (N5) to brownish-gray (5*YR* 4/1), massive, carbonaceous with laminae of coal fragments; very firm; gradational contact with unit below

Siltstone, medium-gray (*N5*), massive, cabonaceous; very firm; gradational contact with unit below

Claystone, medium-light-gray (*N6*), massive, silty; very firm; gradational contact with unit below

Siltstone, medium-gray (*N5*), massive, clayey; very firm

Siltstone, medium-gray (*N5*) to medium-dark-gray (*N4*), finely laminated, sandy, very fine grained; includes interbeds of clayey siltstone and coal lenses; firm

Siltstone, medium-dark-gray (*N4*), finely laminated; firm to very firm; carbonate "blebs" scattered throughout; slickensides at 75.9m; gradational contact with unit below

Siltstone, medium-dark-gray (*N4*), massive; clayey; very firm; carbonate "blebs" scattered throughout

Claystone, medium-dark-gray (*N4*) to brownish-black (5*YR* 4/1), very finely laminated, carbonaceous; hard; slickensides from 77.5 to 77.7m; clayey siltstone from 79.0 to 79.3m, medium-gray (*N5*); very hard

Siltstone, brownish-gray (5*YR* 4/1) to medium-gray (*N5*), finely laminated, clayey, micaceous; very firm; includes regular to wavy bedding and frequent minor faulting; grades to a very fine grained sandy siltstone by 80.4m with numerous interbeds of claystone, siltstone, and very fine grained sandstone; some carbonate "blebs" scattered throughout

Siltstone, brownish-gray (5*YR* 4/1) to medium-gray (*N5*), finely laminated; very firm; flow structures in the bedding

Siltstone, medium-gray (*N5*) to brownish-gray (5*YR* 4/1), finely laminated; interbedded claystone and coaly horizons; micaceous; firm; fossil leaf impression at 85.2 m

Siltstone, brownish-gray (5*YR* 4/1) to olive-gray (5*Y* 4/1), very finely laminated, clayey, carbonaceous laminae; very firm; numerous zones of carbonate "blebs" scattered throughout; possible slickensides at 87.8m; minor fault planes from 89.5 to 89.7m; gradational contact with unit below

Claystone, dark-brownish-gray (5*YR* 3/1) to medium-dark-gray (*N4*), very finely laminated, carbonaceous to very slightly silty; very hard; rhythmic banding of lighter and darker silty claystone and claystone from 93.9 to 96.3m; slickensides at 93.8m; gradational contact with unit below

Claystone, brownish-black (5*YR* 2/1) to brownish-gray (5*YR* 4/1), very finely laminated, carbonaceous with many thin coal lenses; increasingly carbonaceous downwards; very firm

Coal, black (*N1*) to dusky-yellowish-brown (10*YR* 2/2), very finely laminated; very firm; probably lignite

Claystone, brownish-black (5*YR* 2/1) to dusky-yellowish-brown (10*YR* 2/2), very finely laminated, carbonaceous; includes many thin interbeds of coal; firm; coal from 99.45 to 99.55m

Coal, brownish-black (5*YR* 2/1) to black (*N1*), massive to very finely laminated; carbonaceous shale from 109.1 to 109.3m; 0.05-m tuff (?) lens at 109.5m; hard; high-angle fractures throughout upper two-thirds of coal interval; no recovery zone from 110.6 to 110.7m

Sandstone, medium-gray (*N5*) to medium-light-gray (*N6*), massive, fine- to medium-grained; primarily subangular quartz and black aphanitic grains; coal lenses in upper half; hard in coal zone, soft to very soft below

Siltstone, medium-gray (*N5*) to medium-light-gray (*N6*), massive, clayey; firm; includes occasional coal laminae at various angles to the horizontal; carbonate-cemented siltstone from 112.55 to 112.85m, intermediate-brownish-gray (5*YR* 5/1); very hard

Sandstone, medium-gray (*N5*), finely laminated, very fine to fine- to medium-grained, slightly silty; micaceous; soft and friable

GENERALIZED GEOTECHNICAL LOG FOR DRILL HOLE CE-82-1